

ll and Fitzgerald, MoElroy, Edward-Percy-square. onnell began throw-retaliated. ud the case against a caution. that this practice ity he was obligel ally on duty. ersons brought up with most severely. ogs. rties summoned for about the streets. each case. this kind were so line; would be in-seemed to have no too lenient in that a lady fined three sing a very aristo- constable, was pro-a, for allowing a dog ; was a retriever, and a hall-way and took d defence. It was not ou an ex-policeman? r has no respect for

IMALS. ly was prosecuted by king a donkey which

peared and informed onkey at the door for ; his attention was English gentleman, the Shamus O'Brien

ed. PAUPERS. ed to prosecute in a ed Jane Hayes was luct, and also with wards Mr O'Brien, a stated that this getting admission to e came out on this rien for a ticket for d to refuse her, and ade him the subject e. ear, and a warrant

ZLED DOG. rt street was prose-or having his dog at r McMahon. case was on the last ned to ascertain the h Mr McMahon had l that on the day for sued he had been on ilit-house in Robert into Mr McMahon's n spoken to, instead what took him into a esequently, when he Mr McMahon put his and would not allow t the conduct of Mr beat. saying anything for

magistrates, miss him, but I feel sure the of Bruff and neighbourhood will feel deeply his loss. On behalf of myself, his brother magistrat-, I tender to Mr O'Shaughnessy's family our expressions of deep regret.

Mr John Van Bevan—I concur in all that Mr Bayly has said, regarding the death of our late brother magistrate, Mr O'Shaughnessy, and I sincerely join in expressing our sympathy with his family in their bereavement.

Mr John Carroll—I most sincerely regret the death of my friend, and join with my brother magistrates in their expressions of regret and sympathy with Mr O'Shaughnessy's family.

The Chairman then addressing the Clerk of the Court, said—Mr Hinchy, would you convey to Mr O'Shaughnessy's family our sincere sympathy in their sad loss.

The Clerk said he would attend to their worship's wishes, and for himself he said he most sincerely regretted the death of Mr O'Shaughnessy as a magistrate of his district.

Mr Sharpe, the District Inspector, said—It affords me a melancholy pleasure to concur with your observations, and to express on behalf of the Royal Irish Constabulary their full appreciation of the loss which your Bench and the people of Bruff have sustained by the lamented death of Mr O'Shaughnessy. His great experience and knowledge of human nature enabled him to temper justice with mercy, and while on the one hand punishing the hardened criminal, he often tried by kindly advice to reclaim those who had violated the law through thoughtlessness. I therefore wish to convey through you the deep sympathy with his family which we all feel, for the irremediable loss of one whose character, integrity and uniform courtesy had won the esteem and admiration of all who knew him.

THE LATE MR J. J. MURPHY.

Immediately on the announcement of the death of the above-named gentleman being known in Kilmallock, a large and influential meeting of the residents of the town was held at Cleary's Hotel, Kilmallock. Dr P J McNamara, J P, was moved to the chair, and amongst those present were—Messrs J J O'Sullivan, J P; M M Sheehy, M D; J Mortell, J O'Brien, R Devane, P D Clery, W F McKernon, Munster and Leinster Bank, Kilmallock; Maurice O'Grady, M R O'Sullivan, etc.

It was proposed by Dr Sheedy, seconded by Mr James Mortell, and passed unanimously—"That we, the chief residents and business people of Kilmallock and neighbourhood, hereby most respectfully convey our sincere sympathy to the family of the late Mr J J Murphy, Lady's Well Brewery, Cork, and the directors of the Munster and Leinster Bank. He was one of the most liberal patrons in all Munster, and it may be said in all Ireland, of every project—religious, national, and whatever was calculated to advance the interests of the people throughout the entire country, as evidenced by the prompt and successful re-construction of the Munster Bank. His public benefactions were most generous and continuous, whilst his private charities were most liberal and unceasing. The people here confidently say that they have lost one of the best supporters of everything that was likely to improve the town."

COURT OF APPEAL

(Before the Lord Chancellor and Lord Justices Fitzgibbon and Walker.)

THE QUEEN (RIALL) v. BAYLEY.—This case came before the court on appeal from the Queen's Bench Division refusing to make absolute a conditional order for a *quo warranto* in respect of the secretaryship of the grand jury of county Tip-

said she saw the latter standing at the carriage door for about half an hour; the train was there all the time; the porter came up and banged the door, when the child screamed, and then the porter opened the door and took out the child.

His Honor—Do you mean to say that the hand was jammed in the door? Yes, your Honor, he had to open the door to take the hand out. It was absolutely closed. She never heard a bell ring before the accident.

Mr Lynch—Nor no one else until the time the accident occurred?

By Mr Murphy—The bell might have been rung without my hearing it.

Dr Magner, Ratakeale, said he treated the child for a lacerated wound on the inner surface of the little finger; the other fingers were not injured; the child was twelve or fourteen days under treatment; there was a cicatrix yet on the finger.

Mr Michael Stewart, Station-master at Kilmash, examined for the defence, deposed that he went on this date with the train from Cappamore to the steamer; he opened the door of the compartment in which Mrs Reidy and the child were—they were the only passengers in the compartment; he lifted the child on to the platform, and noticing the handkerchief about the hand, he asked what was the matter, she replied the child had got a slight bruise that morning at Kilkee; he lifted of the handkerchief and saw the flesh of part of the little finger, for about a quarter of an inch, bruised; he asked how it occurred, and Mrs Reidy said it was nothing, that she had put a drop of whiskey on it and it did not signify; he had put that in writing in the usual course.

Mrs Reidy, re-called, said that she thought the last witness had taken the child from her at Cappa; she was so confused that she did not recollect it.

Mr Stewart added that the woman also said it was accidental and that the man did not mean it.

Cross-examined by Mr Lynch—The Kilkee Stationmaster was not in court; one of the four porters was present; the woman did not seem to be put out at all; there was no doubt but the child was injured; he did not doubt the evidence of Miss Thompson.

Michael Lucey, porter at Kilkee, deposed that two bells were rung before the train left; every other door on the train but this one was shut; he supposed it was the head porter or the ticket-checker shut the doors.

Counsel (Mr Lynch)—Who is the head porter? His name is Hanrahan; it was not witness's duty to see after the closing of the doors—every official may look after the doors.

Everybody is responsible at Kilkee? Everybody is responsible; there was no great crowd on the platform—there were about 40 people present; it was not true that the train was half an hour at the platform—it started at once; it came from Kilmash that morning.

Mr Lynch—How long was it in Kilkee before it started?

Witness admitted it might be about half an hour. He would swear two bells were rung on the platform; he made no report of what happened, as he was not asked for any; the stationmaster knew nothing about it; he did not think anything happened to the child.

To the Judge—I heard the child scream.

Judge—Therefore you must have known that the child was injured.

Witness further denied that he banged the door; if he had done so he would have chopped the child's finger off. He did open the door. The child could have taken out its hand without opening the door.

Judge—You contradict Miss Thompson and Mrs Reidy.

By Mr Lynch—I swear the child could have taken out its hand without opening the door. Mrs